

Policy	Comments
	<p>I'm pleased to see what seems to be a quite sensible well thought out and justified set of policies. I hope they are fully supported and implemented by our local authorities. Policies seem sensible and in keeping with the character of the village</p>
Housing	
General	<p>All seemed a fair balance with keeping the character but allowing some improvements I was under the impression the number of listed buildings in the Parish had risen to 51, and am certain there are 8 listings in Milton comprising 6 dwellings, 1 telephone kiosk and the railings in front of The Farm ( Milton Grange) Strongly agree Do not agree with any more housing in Repton. Enough is enough Emphasis should be given to maintaining the current size and character of both Repton &amp; Milton NO FURTHER BUILDING ON GREEN-FIELD SITES IN REPTON +/-OR MILTON SHOULD BE PERMITTED Only infill should be allowed in future. We need more housing. Has to be built somewhere in village envelope. There is only 1 working farm in Milton &amp; 1 small holding. Agree with small numbers. The rolling piecemeal development over the past 25yrs has been appropriate whereas the large recent developments are causing chaos during construction let alone when every house is occupied with 2 cars and 2 kids next to a full primary school. Village character has been raised for many years and has been ignored. Don't know why SO278 would not be included – other planning on Broomhills OK – should be in village boundary &amp; build less and smaller on Burdett Way site. Control needed No more housing developments please! All the policies allow for small-scale development in keeping with the character of the village. Building which can be seen from the surrounding countryside over the hill summits completely changes the nature of the area and is unacceptable. Traffic issues mean that any new developments create problems No loss of land near school No further large scale development No more expensive houses When Henry Boot applied to build on the field next to the Mount Pleasant, the objection (which was upheld on appeal) included a recognition that Mill Hill and Wood End were hamlets too. A sensible approach Flood consideration are important with increased rainfall Too many new houses on one side of the village Can services like water, sewers cope But this does not appear to be what is actually happening as Burdett Way development is for 40 houses and Mount Pleasant is for 24 as SDDC next stage. So has the horse bolted? All development appears to be the Milton side of Repton whereas Burton Road past Chestnut Way would offer less problems with cars etc.</p>
H1	<p>Do not, however, agree the redrawing of the village boundaries to include site sold. H1 Strongly agree boundaries and conservation areas should be preserved with special emphasis on preventing ribbon development between Repton and Milton. I agree that the Neighbourhood Development Plan maintaining Repton and Milton as separate villages. My concern is that if so S0276, S0128, S0125 will be allowed to develop, this would mean very little space between the villages.</p>

	<p>The separation between Repton &amp; Milton should be maintained.  Keep the Hamlet of Milton and the Village of Repton separate with their own identity and individuality  Use the boundary to have smaller developments instead of 40 at Burdett Way eg SO278, SO181  Defined separation between Repton &amp; Milton to maintain the 'rural' feel of Milton compared to the busier village feel of Repton  Village envelope needs defining and strictly kept to</p>
H2	<p>Limit to Max 10/development + infill where possible is OK. Total number Repton + Willington excessive given overload of road system already,  Important do not allow any changes to planning consents of larger developments  Limited infill is acceptable  There should be no more development between Repton &amp; Milton it has already become a mini village + has ruined views from Milton to Repton  Policy H2  New developments should be restricted in number of dwellings and cognisant of modern needs but retaining character  Large estate of houses should not be allowed. Limit of 10  Any infilling should be carefully considered in every aspect – not just for financial gain  Strongly support infilling – i.e. no large development.  It is unrealistic to limit to 10 houses. Small developments properly designed of 50 houses are more realistic with national demand.  Infilling in policy H2a invariably would contradict policy H8 – parking for at least two vehicles.  As long as sympathetic design, well away from other property.</p>
H3	<p>Agree with H3 +development in fill site  Milton is not suitable for more than single in-fill dwellings  Currently the District Council is saying that there should be only infill within the proposed settlement of Milton.  <u>Milton</u> should definitely remain a hamlet H 1  Any development in Milton <b>MUST</b> be entirely in keeping with H3.</p>
H4	<p>Low cost starter homes should be restricted to local people, ensuring housing for young people in the area and preventing people from having to relocate elsewhere.  Any building undertaken should have affordable element in it  Social housing much needed – especially for young couples. It should be permanently available (not for 5 years only).  However as a 22yr old who is looking to soon become a first time home owner I have to express disappointment at Policy A4 b. Were the boot on the other foot and I were looking to buy in say Northumberland only to struggle or find it impossible because I didn't have a strong enough 'connection' to the Parish, I would be extremely disheartened &amp; upset, especially if I had got a job in the area and so must relocate. I think many people move away from where they have grown up these days. This seems to encourage a family to only live in one particular area for generation upon generation which in this day and age does not seem progressive or productive.  Priority for those with strong connections to the parish – consider large number of people have moved into the village and need to live where work is rather than family</p>
H5	<p>H5 Very much agree  Can the Dales still be kept for provision for the elderly  The Dales should be rebuilt  Dev't of the Dales as in Policy H5 v. important</p>
H6	<p>H6 Very much agree</p>

H7	<p>Absolutely must be in keeping <u>NOT NOT</u> like the development on Milton Road, Repton. They are appalling. PARKING ON ROAD</p> <p>What about limiting the effects of developments on the wider rural landscapes that are valued by the local residents</p>
H8	<p>Require 3 parking spaces for new buildings/extensions involving 5 bedrooms or more. H8 appears to conflict with aim to reduce use of private motor vehicles.</p> <p>As least 2 vehicles should be maximum 2</p> <p>Must have 2 parking spaces for new properties – most families have at least 2 cars</p> <p>It's noticeable that parking on the road is happening outside the new Milton Rd development. It must obstruct cars from passing freely on their way to F/marke. Were 2 parking spaces allowed per home on Milton Rd?</p> <p>This doesn't seem to be considered by new building</p> <p>Does not match desire to reduce private vehicles, define size of housing</p>
Open Spaces Environment	
General	<p>Keep our villages in keeping and do not urbanise them.</p> <p>Can an addition be made for Milton to be a Hamlet that 'emerges' as you approach into the valley rather than housing on the hills.</p> <p>This is important as it enables continuation of rural aspects and promotes 'green' environment.</p> <p>Amenity value for these sites is high. The unsightly parking ruins the landscape of High Street and is actually dangerous. Some of us would happily participate in a team village litter pick in and around the area (mostly the detritus of passing vans – I cycle - I see it.)</p> <p>A good mix up of housing &amp; open spaces distinguishes Repton as a village rather than a small town eg Castle Donington</p> <p>To preserve the rural character open space is essential</p> <p>All our open spaces should now be left as just that</p> <p>Policies OS1,2 &amp; 3 good</p> <p>Open spaces are so important. Let's make sure areas are attractive and have benches, paths, litter bins so that they are used and enjoyed.</p> <p>Land off Saxon Croft is a mess</p> <p>Where is biodiversity, importance of joining up green areas to create a network of habitats eg brook course, connections on edge of Milton village, importance of school grounds as natural habitats</p>
OS1	<p>Policy OS(1) - AGREE</p> <p>OS1 Very much in favour</p>
OS2	<p>Retention of green space 155 in Milton</p> <p>With grass triangle at the bottom of Mount Pleasant road where it joins Main Street, Milton. This is a grassed area with trees, planting and bench seat is an important village feature.</p> <p>The Orchard and Village Green in Milton should be maintained.</p> <p>Policy OS (2) - AGREE</p> <p>To preserve the Village Green - junction of Mount Pleasant Road &amp; Ticknall Road and opposite Milton Village Hall known as The Orchard. Open spaces in Repton only mentions the Orchard and verge and omits the Village Green.</p> <p>But some areas could possibly added such as the area behind Chestnut Way currently agricultural land gone to woodland.</p> <p>The land (old gravel pits) on Mount Pleasant Rd (S0089 on the Local Plan) is an important wildlife sanctuary, and as such should not be built on and maintained as a wild life area.</p>

	The old gravel pits now overgrown on the right hand side of Mount Pleasant is a haven of wildlife and should be specifically designated as a protected wildlife area (S0089 – on the local plan)
OS3	Policy OS(3) - AGREE OS3 Agree that views of historic buildings shouldn't be obstructed.
OS4	There should be a requirement for retention of mature site trees within the new development and retention of hedges or if these have to be removed for sight lines they should be replaced with native species hedges
Amenities Services	
General	<p>All moved to Willington</p> <p>The pressure on health and education services by future development must not be underestimated.</p> <p>So Many of our amenities + services are based in Willington this plan needs to be considered in conjunction with the Willington Plan</p> <p>There is no gas supply in Milton</p> <p>I am sure the per capita funding in Swadlincote is higher.</p> <p>Need faster internet</p> <p>More for elderly population/support is always important to value them.</p> <p>Internet speeds and phone signals are necessary home business and should be greatly improved.</p> <p>Not got supporting amenities</p> <p>Renewable energy should be encouraged whilst complimenting the plan</p> <p>Make sure Burdett Way &amp; Mount Pleasant Devts contribute to amenities and village hall</p> <p>Developments should consider providing S facing roofs for the installation of solar panels</p> <p>Inadequate services within Repton mean more journeys by car along already congested routes.</p> <p>Possible problem with SDDC Plan which indicates housing development sites of many more than 10</p> <p>All policies in Amenities/Services v good</p> <p>How will new dev. Contribute to service improvements eg drainage which cannot cope with existing population.</p>
AS1	<p>Current healthcare level Willington Surgery + Repton already overstretched - premises adequate, insufficient staff</p> <p>An increased in sheltered housing in Repton may result in more people requiring more local health service</p> <p>Need access to health care facilities in village</p> <p>If the village would like a branch surgery in Repton from Willington, this could be discussed with the CCG, it is far from a 'no cost' option as staff/IT costs would arise.</p> <p>Willington HC is adequate and easy to get to</p> <p>Maybe the support for a Repton Medical Centre should be stronger</p> <p>A medical centre would be beneficial to the parish</p> <p>Doctor's surgery – only runs with part-time Doctors. Very hard to get an apt. Should not be expected to take any more patients at the detriment of those already registered.</p> <p>Why no Doctors surgery in the Health Centre?</p> <p>Medical services need improvement</p> <p>Doctors surgery oversubscribed – new home owners would further limit the availability of services</p> <p>I would be most upset if a new medical facility was built in Repton. NHS took a perfectly good health centre and turned it into an admin centre – of no value to Repton at all. Any new building is outside our control &amp; the same could happen again.</p>

	<p>But I think Willington Surgery is superb – over stretched so that appointments can be hard to get, but once in – SUPERB.</p> <p>We had a suitable surgery</p> <p>Support a health centre in Repton, but do the NHS have enough Doctors to staff it?</p> <p>Provisional support for health services is fine in theory, but the new surgery in Willington is struggling to find Doctors to fill it. If this is already the case you can have as many buildings as you like for health services in Repton, but if there is not the staff to fill it....</p> <p>AS1 Provision of medical Centre – needs medical staff – of which there is a shortage</p> <p>Certainly could do with a doctors surgery in Repton</p>
AS2	<p>The provision of additional school buildings despite being permitted will cause significant loss of playing fields.</p> <p>Providing alternative provision will be almost impossible due to the new housing already agreed around the site.</p> <p>AS(2) - Agree</p> <p>Will any new developments be required to contribute financially to the building of new school classrooms and relocation of playing field (if required)?</p> <p>Better parking provision at schools</p> <p>Building around the Primary School has unwisely limited its space for expansion to cope with new residents.</p> <p>No loss of playing fields. Current school building should not be expected to take more children. – large classes should be a thing of the past. A nationwide shortage of teachers is also in the equation.</p> <p>Problem with facilities for the primary school is that it is now surrounded by new housing so increasing the size/playing fields is impossible.</p> <p>Extra buildings and playing field could have been on land sold for housing</p> <p>AS2 school playing fields could be acceptable within existing green areas</p>
AS3	<p>AS3 existing public land + roadsides should be improved by naturalistic planting of trees shrubs etc. NO windfarms please.</p> <p>Although tree planting scheme is good and necessary the TPO.... Need to be eased to remove trees that have overgrown their original purpose.</p> <p>Agree but AS3 needs careful planning</p> <p>Hedgerows/grass verges more regularly cut as impinge on footpaths particularly towards Newton Solney.</p> <p>Parish Council please note – tree planting within the village appears to have stopped</p> <p>AS3 tree planting should be a requirement to soften &amp; integrate new development (not just encouraged)</p> <p>AS3 – use of native trees – naturalistic in style. Stronger emphasis on care &amp; retention of existing trees is needed</p>
AS4	<p>AS(4) - Agree</p> <p>Believe that some funding by SDDC should be forthcoming for Repton and Milton Village Halls.</p> <p>The Village Hall hosts community clubs and many other groups - including children's clubs, other than WI &amp; Police Consultations. It is also the local Polling Station</p> <p>Repton Village Hall should be replaced with proper facilities.</p> <p>Has the potential to combine Repton Village Hall and the Den been considered? A combined facility would be advantageous to all.</p> <p>Village Halls a very important centre point for all ages – Repton &amp; Milton</p>
Community, Leisure & Employment	
General	<p>NB. Depending on definition of smallholding there may no longer be two of them in Milton</p>

	<p>NB. There is only one working farm within Milton hamlet although Oaks Farm has land in Milton</p> <p>Keep the countryside rural. We live here because we want to live in the country and a enjoy rural pursuits.</p> <p>No more pressure on the High Street</p> <p>The park at Mitre Field could benefit from an enclosure and parent bench in an enclosure for toddlers and football posts for bigger children.</p> <p>Prefer to see encouragement of business use to meet requirements of increased population.</p> <p>Anything that can be done to procure a multifunctional village must be supported as it touches all the age groups who might benefit. Local employment is desirable – but see travel.</p> <p>The park at Mitre Field could benefit from an enclosure and parent bench in an enclosure for toddlers and football posts for bigger children.</p> <p>Peripheral problems in surrounding area could cancel knock on problems in Repton</p> <p>Existing pubs/restaurants/shops sufficient, parking not available to accommodate more</p> <p>I often pass the Crescent at the top of Askew Grove and see children playing on the green there – but parents are sitting in cars watching as there isn't anywhere for them to sit outside.</p> <p>If there were benching, conversations could happen! Older people might venture out from their homes around the Crescent and that might foster more cross-generation contact. This would be particularly valuable if and when the Dales is redeveloped.</p> <p>Builder should find new facilities</p>
CLE1	<p>Policy CLE1 - is irrelevant if policy H2 is undertaken - as this limits development to less than 10 dwellings! However, adequate play facilities are required anyway.</p> <p>CLE (1) - Agree</p> <p>CLE (1) Housing developments of significant size should not be allowed - contradicts policy H2.</p> <p>More children are utilising the Crescent, This can be used for play equipment, nearer to where they live.</p> <p>CLE1 Give consideration to relocating the Mitre field equipment to the top of the village – Crescent or Longlands. It is unobserved on Mitre field and has been the source of antisocial and criminal activity for years.</p> <p>CLE1 more important is to have access to well designed and attractive spaces suited to wide range uses &amp; design more natural play spaces. Equipment is just an easy way for developers &amp; better open space &amp; landscape design is of greater importance, with spaces within reach.</p>
CLE2	<p>Particularly CLE 2</p> <p>CLE (2) - The Boot &amp; the chaos it causes!!!!</p> <p>Care must be taken that any new business's will not add to or cause traffic flow and parking issues</p> <p>CLE2 How do you provide enough parking for pubs etc? There's no scope.</p>
CLE3	<p>CLE (3) - no comment</p> <p>CLE (3) What about long standing empty properties such as the building next to Dragon Court? It is an eyesore now.</p> <p>Essential to keep adequate pub's, restaurants, shops etc.</p>
CLE4	<p>CLE (4) - Agree</p> <p>CLE (4) Absolutely. No large scale</p>
CLE5	<p>I generally agree, but employment is important so not sure about the limit of 10 or more employees is a good thing</p> <p>Minimising new developments to less than 10 employees is too much of a hindrance</p>

Travel & Transport	
General	<p>Maintenance of paths between Repton &amp; Milton  But there is also a plague of short 'internal' journeys to discourage – eg to the school and kids activity  Make sure Burdett Way Devt &amp; Mount Pleasant Devt contribute to infrastructure.  Plans of proposed cycle/walking routes would be interesting as would plans for additional off road parking. ?use of amenity money. More details needed.  Country lanes should stay as country lanes  How will existing parking/traffic issues be addressed  Traffic calming essential  Housing plans to account for increased traffic/parking. How is policing of parking/speed limits/traffic flow proposed.  Should be a crossing point opposite or nearby Matthew's Jitty to Pastures</p>
T1	<p>The current roads cannot cope with existing traffic.  T1- Traffic already out of control  Traffic through Repton already out of control!!  Traffic very problematical both amount and parking  The Man-hours wasted and pollution caused by congestion are rising to ridiculous levels.  Any development should take steps to reduce this.  Traffic is very bad at peak times – roads need repairing  I moved to Repton 31/2 months ago and have been shocked at the volume of traffic and the congestion on the High Street –  Through traffic intolerable</p>
T2	<p>T2 - Traffic already out of control  Policy T2 esp.ref to traffic flow VERY IMPORTANT. As current situation is deteriorating  Policy T2. Sounds very good but obviously was not followed when considering the Longlands and Mount Pleasant developments as these could not be further from the main thoroughfares of the village.</p>
T3	<p>Policy T3. 20 mph speed limit in Milton Policy F1.  Especially T3 - 20 mph speed limit  Think T3 is unworkable  Speed limit remains problematic - especially through Milton towards Ticknall - this is currently dangerous.  Would it be possible to check the speed of cars etc travelling through Milton? ( I know it has already been done in Repton)  The 30 mph limit is certainly not adhered to.  T3 - Irrelevant  T3 - Is not realistic, as present 30 mph is not enforceable.  Speed bumps in Milton.  Traffic management solutions should be considered rather than a reduction in the speed limit.  Disagree with 20mph blanket speed limit  Disagree with T3 (20mph limit)  The 20mph speed limit is good  Agree with 20mph speed limit  With the reservations that policing the speed limits doesn't happen now so will it happen in the future?  Who will implement 20mph?  T3 – not 20mph. 30 is fine. 20 is a nonsense when emergency vehicles come through at 50+.  Needs to go further to limit speed of traffic. People who exceed current 30mph limit will</p>

	<p>exceed 20mph limit! Need speed bumps or similar.  20mph limit in an area from the Cross to Askew drive but not whole village.  Policy T3 – 20mph speed limit throughout whole village seems unnecessary especially if proper ‘adequate’ off-street parking is provided as said. 20mph only normally occur near schools in school hours, which I support, but in the whole village seems extreme  T3 Who will enforce the 20mph?  Agree with 20mph limit  I feel a 20mph speed limit should be introduced ASAP</p>
T4	<p>T4 - Er, Milton Road - they park on the road!!  T4 Is hard to imagine &amp; no space</p>
T5	<p>parking provision is not adequate  T5 - Agree  Parking is an an issue.  Parking !!! Nightmare  Parking still a problem, especially on High Street  The parking problem for pubs, restaurants &amp; takeaways is significant. People do not bother to use/are unaware of the parking behind the Chinese. The Indian restaurant has no parking – combined with the Legion this creates a dangerous junction at the bottom of the Pastures – an accident waiting to happen.  Whereas a vital single yellow line in Brookend/Boot Hill has overnight disappeared – it should be returned as the area is a danger to people &amp; car users – single yellow line required on bridge at Brookend. Deadly for pedestrians and cars coming either way.  More traffic wardens to keep unsafe parking in check  Where would a car park go? Parish Council considered this before – there isn’t anywhere.  Parking is not sufficient  There should be no parking outside an area from the Cross to Askew drive between 7am &amp; 7pm and needs to be enforced  This is atrocious at the moment  No parking outside the Spar/Post Office is not enforced  Parking still an issue, can they park only on one side of the road leaving adequate spaces to pull in to let oncoming traffic through.  The pavements are essential but sometimes you have to walk past cars by walking on the road. Difficult with young children.  How do you provide additional parking? Residents parking would be useful but how would this be managed.  Parking has not been fully considered. The Bulls Head when developed didn’t provide nearly enough spaces, We living opposite find parking space v difficult especially in the evening. Now the Boot is causing similar problems.  Parking down Boot Hill a problem because of popularity of the Boot pub.  Does not identify existing problems eg parking at St Wystan’s school blocks traffic</p>
T6	<p>The Clayfields site is almost three quarters of a mile from the main bus stop and since some of the social housing is occupied by pensioners, at least one over 80 years old, access to public transport needs to be nearer. There will be on this and the other new development  be mothers with children and no car.  An increased in sheltered housing in Repton may result in more people requiring public transport.  Additional bus routes going through Milton are important for the &gt; 65's and those who do not drive therefore important we look at through re ?????  Some kind of community transport however minor is needed in Milton  Milton needs a bus service  We should have a bus service through Milton  Comments have been raised about a bus service for Milton - it would be nice but would</p>

	<p>be totally uneconomic. So it is unreasonable.</p> <p>T6 - Agree</p> <p>Also lobby for extra rail services from Willington station, No bus service in Milton - so introduce one.</p> <p>T6 Difficult but I am sure could be done</p> <p>Infrequent buses discourage use = vicious circle.</p> <p>Improve bus service – not just between BOT &amp; Derby</p> <p>More frequent buses to Derby &amp; Burton would be very beneficial for the village</p> <p>Bus route should be extended to include more of Repton</p> <p>Bus service inadequate as is rail service in Willington</p> <p>Once an hour service, satisfactory – but less would be a poor service. Buses needed to the outskirts of Repton. Elderly people live in bungalows in Springfield Road and have no public service transport.</p> <p>Would welcome extra buses and direct buses to Swadlincote and Derby Hospital</p> <p>Would like to see the public buses (V3) to follow a similar route to the Tesco bus so as to include the use of house owners in the new developments beyond Springfield Rd (and 2 an hour not hourly). Better public transport would help cut down the use of private cars.</p>
T7	<p>A safe cycle path between Milton &amp; Repton.</p> <p>Safer routes Milton to Repton</p> <p>A safe cycleway to Willington should certainly be a priority</p> <p>T7 - Agree</p> <p>in conjunction with T7 cycle path</p> <p>Cycle route and safe walking route to Willington excellent idea - could be linked with greater train timetable?</p> <p>Still need walking/bike route (not on main road) to Willington – Doctors/chemist/railway station – dangerous road.</p> <p>A viable walkway to Willington would help quite a few who shop/consult Drs/use trains regularly.</p> <p>Safer walking routes</p> <p>More cycling routes to prevent issues on narrow roads</p> <p>Footpaths should be kept clear</p> <p>Safe footpath to Willington needed</p> <p>Where would the cycle track go?</p> <p>Cyclists and horses need own 'paths'.</p> <p>T7 No cycles on the footpaths or pavements please</p> <p>Is a cycle track between Repton &amp; Willington achievable?</p> <p>A safer footpath should be provided to Willington</p> <p>T7 footpath to Willington in addition to cycle path would be good.</p> <p>The lane leading from the High Str. to Chestnut Way (Well Lane) should be passable by pedestrians. Presently difficult to walk on with large pot holes (full of water) and mud. Used to be a delight, now a mess.</p> <p>It would be excellent to have a pedestrian walk/cycle route across the Trent.</p> <p>The footpath to Willington is not safe for walking as wing mirrors overhang narrow footpath and is not suitable for wheelchairs or pushchairs.</p>
T8	<p>Emphasise limitation of development in neighbouring area to avoid increase in traffic.</p> <p>T8 - Bypass essential</p> <p>T8 Strongly agree with</p> <p>T8 Totally agree</p> <p>Many problems would be cured with a Repton by-pass ASAP.</p> <p>Relief from traffic in Repton &amp; also Willington is badly needed, particularly given the developments in both which are taking place.</p> <p>Dependent on a by pass</p> <p>This depends on a by pass which is essential – village already blocked a lot of the time</p> <p>Plus additional river Trent crossing for motorists</p>

	<p>Is there scope to influence plans outside of Repton that could increase traffic through the village.</p> <p>1 Don't refer to specific development proposals in the policy (eg scheme at Etwall)</p> <p>2 Has the PC considered the major environmental effects of the proposal for a bypass?</p> <p>T8 – don't mention railhead; need for traffic alleviation is already needed &amp; should be pursued irrespective of development.</p>
--	---